



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_27209
Title of the Manuscript:	Pseudo Lifting Modules
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Very good paper in general. Well developed, and despite having a simple proposal, complied with the proposed objectives.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I think it is interesting to add the concluding chapter, emphasizing the importance of the article, and future prospects.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Leonardo Simal Moreira</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Centro Universitário de Volta Redonda, Brazil</i>