



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_27209
Title of the Manuscript:	Pseudo Lifting Modules
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (<i>if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- The English is poor. A thorough language revision must be carried out - The abstract does not state clearly the main results of the work, the progress reported on this work or the relevance of the approach. - Please, state the meaning of the notation $\ll$.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>J. E. Macías-Díaz</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Mathematics and Physics, Universidad Autónoma de Aguascalientes, Mexico</i>