



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_27165
Title of the Manuscript:	Oscillation criteria for second-order nonlinear delay dynamic equations on time scales
Type of the Article	original research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In the second line of the seventh page, the author says : “Further, as $\tilde{T} = \tau(T) = T$ by H4, we can get from Lemma 3.1 that.....” But, in hypothesis H4, like the author claims: $\tilde{T} = \tau(T) \subset T$. If $\tilde{T} = \tau(T) \subset T$, then theorems 4.1-4.4 may be invalid.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I have examined Theorem 4.1 which cannot be applied to the Euler differential equation. Therefore, the results should be further improved.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Hongwu Wu</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>School of Mathematics, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, China</i>