



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_25707
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing Unidimensionality, Reliability and Validity by Using Pooled Confirmatory Factor Analysis
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This paper is related to Confirmatory Factor Analysis and Pooled Confirmatory Factor Analysis. The authors evaluated the factors used to validate the best model of four latent construct by using PCFA technique on variable student's attitude toward Biostatistics. The authors should check their English in the text. I propose this paper for the publication after consideration of the mentioned and following remarks.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The paper contains the following misprints: 1. Row 131 "...there are a series of goodness.." should be "...is.." 2. Row 135 "...There are 3 category..." should be "...categories.." 3. Row 136 "...following table presented shows.." maybe without "presented" 4. Row 137 "...literature supported:" should be "...support:" 5. Row 144 "This study focus on.." should be "...focuses.." 6. Row 160 "4 dimension which is ..." should be "4 dimensions which are ..." 7. Row 170 "...evaluation is consider as.." should be "...considered.." 8. Row 178 "Basically, the fitness indexed..." maybe	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	“...index” 9. Row 187 “...validity is achieve since..” should be “..achieved” 10. Row 248 “...model is depend the fitness...” should be “...model is depended on the fitness...” Check whole the text once again.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Radosław Jedynak</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Computer Science & Mathematics Department, Kazimierz Pulaski University of Technology and Humanities, Poland</i>