SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJMCS_25206**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Approximate Functional Dependencies Mining Using Association Rules Specificity Interestingness Measure**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The author deals with AFDs after "explaining" about them in the introduction.** **It would have been better if any explanation was offered for choosing specificity over sensitivity, error rate and accuracy.** **The existing algorithm is not explained clearly and the results obtained are not at all visualised.**	
Minor REVISION comments	Starting from the abstract, many English sentence construction errors can be seen. It would be better for the author to correct them. Ex: Mining Approximate Functional Dependencies (AFDs) from a database may **produces** valuable interesting relationships among its variables... The mining of **AFDs still posing** a special set of challenges	
Optional/General comments	There are no languages like 'Urdo' or Hindo'. They are actually 'Urdu' and 'Hindi'.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***M. Bhanu Sridhar***
Department, University & Country	***Dept. of CSE, GVP College of Engineering for Women, Vizag, India***