



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_24498
Title of the Manuscript:	A coalgebraic model of graphs
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I recommend an integration of the abstract and the introduction, in order to better introduce and clarify the finality of the article. I suggest also a partial revision of conclusions, mainly in the first part	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	• In the first page appears "soure" instead of source. • The sentence "In this framework, relational systems fit in too" is incomplete (pag. 1)	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Giuliani Donatella</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Bologna, Italy</i>