



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_24494
Title of the Manuscript:	A STUDY OF THE ESTIMATION OF THE GINI COEFFICIENT OF INCOME USING LORENZ CURVE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The authors should strive to use a measure of Relative Bias (%) as they did with their Relative Error (%) because they are comparing competing numerical integration techniques. If there is a problem of having zero in the denominator for Relative Bias then a constant can be added to resolve this issue.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	In equation (5), line 87, the authors make an IF statement, but there is no THEN follow-up comment (s). The language in lines 142-144 is confusing. The authors (i) discuss a KS test of normality, (ii) discuss the null hypothesis in terms that the distribution is lognormal distribution, and (iii) and subsequently conclude that (p=.42) that the distribution is not normal. This language should be cleaned up.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Todd Christopher Headrick</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Quantitative Methods, Southern Illinois University Carbondale, USA</i>