



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_24365
Title of the Manuscript:	New Analytic Technique for the Solution of Nth order Nonlinear Two-Point Boundary Value Problems
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Especially the corrections and comments in red into the paper	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It would be interesting to do graphs that demonstrate to the results because this technique is very interesting to solve nonlinear boundary value problems.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Pedro Pablo Cárdenas Alzate</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Mathematics, Technological University of Pereira, Colombia</i>