SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJMCS_22588**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Comparative Analysis of Self-Organizing Feature Map and Counter Propagation Network in Face Recognition**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Although the paper seems to talk about some interesting idea but the paper suffers from lack of novelty in general. There is not enough literature review and also the experimental results are very narrow and small. Much more comparison needs to be done. The authors should compare their method with the following reference:** Anbarjafari, Gholamreza. "Face recognition using color local binary pattern from mutually independent color channels." *EURASIP Journal on Image and Video Processing* 2013, no. 1 (2013): 1-11.	
Minor REVISION comments	The abstract need to be re-written and also in general the structure of the paper need to be revised.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Gholamreza Anbarjafari**
Department, University & Country	**University of Tartu, Estonia**