



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_19773
Title of the Manuscript:	Prediction of Productivity of A Yangtze River Port Based on Data Analysis Using Combination of Visualization Method and Numeric Method - A Case Study
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Title says authors are going to present a prediction technique for productivity of Yangtze River Port by using a data analysis technique, which is a combination of visualization and numerical methods. However, abstract says nothing related to title. Title, abstract and the text of the paper need to be consistent. Introduction section presents only definitions of data scientists, visual data analysis and numerical data analysis methods. In Introduction section, authors are expected to briefly explain their rationale and approach and, whenever possible, the possible outcomes their study can reveal. Authors should state the purpose of the work in the form of the hypothesis, question, or problem they investigated. The paper lacks clarity and does not present ideas in a coherent way.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Kocaeli University, Turkey