



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_23380
Title of the Manuscript:	Weakly Berwald spaces with second approximate Matsumoto metric
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The abstract of the manuscript is too short. I must recommend to the authors to expand this abstract at least to 150 words. This would include a brief description of the paper, the method used and the results obtained. The introduction of the manuscript would contain a more detailed description of the objectives of the contribution, than straight definitions and formulas. I feel the lack any summarize the results obtained and conclusions, which the authors reached. The paper is in its structure, which is not quite right, see above, and in its content and form, more suitable for the publication in a purely mathematical journal.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The text of the manuscript is not aligned, because some deductions and formulas exceed the margin of page.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Diana Bilkova</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Statistics and Probability, University of Economics, Prague, Czech Republic</i>