



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_30605
Title of the Manuscript:	Design and comparison of equiripple bandpass filter for wireless applications at varying bandwidths
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The problem discussed in the paper is interesting and still valid. This fact is demonstrated by a series papers cited by this manuscript. The paper is well written but a few misprints have to be improved: 1. Check once again text and change big letters to small for example: "...evolution of Software Defined radio...", "...includes Multi service.." and so on. 2. Create Figure 4 in the same way as Figure 5 (cut the snapshot) 3. Improve the quality of equations. 4. Give clear definitions for used variables in the paper. After consideration the mentioned remarks.	The feedback for the revision is as under: 1. The big letters are changed to small oned marked yellow in colour as per revision in point 1. 2. The snapshot of figure 4 is cut the same way as figure 5 which is as per the revision in point2. 3. The equations have been made more clear as suggested. 4. The variables used δp, δs, $\Delta \omega$, a_1 to a_6 etc. are defined (marked yellow) as suggested.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		