



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_30605
Title of the Manuscript:	Design and comparison of equiripple bandpass filter for wireless applications at varying bandwidths
Type of the Article	Design and comparison of equiripple bandpass filter for wireless applications at varying bandwidths

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Fig. no 2,3 ,4 ,5,7,8 and 9 are hazy Cite the references in increasing numerical sequences [1,2,3 etc...] and list them accordingly under "references"	The references have been cited in increasing numerical sequence (marked yellow) and listed also in the same manner as suggested. The figures no 2,3,4,5,7,8,9 have been made clear as suggested
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Strict formatting of paper is required	The formatting of paper is also done by adjusting some margins as suggested
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		