



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_30348
Title of the Manuscript:	On Convexity of Right-Closed Integral Sets
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper deals with an interesting topic worthy of investigation. The presented algorithm looks solid. However, I do not agree with the style of presentation of the main sections 3 and 4. I suggest that the authors clearly specify which results belong to them, and which are taken from other studies. For example, who proved Lemma 3.2?	We moved Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 of the previous version to Section 2 (see our response to Reviewer #3, below). Lemma 3.2 (of the previous version) was proven by us. We have provided the appropriate reference in the revised paper (where it is Lemma 2.2). We have highlighted our contributions in the conclusion, and provided a reference where the reader can find additional illustrative examples as well.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	After the authors explicitly mark their contribution, the paper may be accepted for publication.	