



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_29941
Title of the Manuscript:	Numerical Method for Obtaining a Predictive Estimator for the Geometric Distribution
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR’S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors’ response to final evaluator’s comments
I think that authors don’t only reply my comments in conclusion. Authors need to reply my comments in other section, for example: Authors need to give some practical examples to explain their method...	Thank you very much for your advice. The following sentences were added to section 3: "This figure shows that when the true value of p is around 0.2 , $r_{error}(p^+, \hat{p})$ is less than 0.8 . In practical situations such as estimation of missile failure probability, this improvement indicates that the number of experiment to achieve a reliable p is reduced to approximately 0.89 ($\approx \sqrt{0.8}$) times. It will generate substantial economic efficiency gain. Therefore, this technique is not just a mathematical curiosity but have important consequences for the real economy. " I believe that this explanation shows the motive and a practical example of this method.