SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJMCS_29533**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Prediction Consistency of Lasso Regression Does Not Need Normal Errors**
Type of the Article	**Short communication**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**A good work**	
Minor REVISION comments	The following corrections be attended by author (s): 1. On page 1, line 27, delete initial "S." after the author's name 2. On page 2, line 31, insert comma (,) after "paper" and in line 34, delete initial "A." after the author's name 3. On page 2, line 42, delete "Since we …from" and write "As per Chatterjee (2014), we adopted the notations …" 4. On page 5, line 104, delete "Remark 4.1".	I have put the first name of the author Sourav here, since another author Chatterjee A. (page 2) is cited, to differentiate them. Other points 2.-4. have been corrected.
Optional/General comments		Thank you very much for your comments. They improve the quality of the paper.