



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_29238
Title of the Manuscript:	Empirical Performance of Internal Sorting Algorithm
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. In respect of practise, different computer architecture (memory, cpu, bus, etc.) will definitely influence the performance of ISA, so, how can your work help programmer to make choice? Unless you give detail analyse about the relationship between architecture and algorithm. 2. At least you need do some deep analysing work about how the size of data influences the performance from the view of algorithm. And the data structure will also play some kind role in this work, you need pay some attention. 3. This empirical work is weak in both theory analyse and algorithm analyse.	1. The details analysis about the relationship between computer architecture and algorithm were mentioned now the scope has been elaborated as suggested 2. A more elaborate analysis has been done regarding the data size influence on performance. 3. Improvement has been made as suggested
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		