



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_29231
Title of the Manuscript:	QE-Bayesian and E-Bayesian Estimation of the Frechet Model
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Suggest to plot the convergence trend of the simulated result for user to compare the difference between different methods easily 2. Except for the simulation, any other applications? How is the performance? 3. Please correct typos through the whole paper Authors have solid mathematic background and the method looks fine. This paper is valued to publish. It s a good trial in Bayes family.	1-This suggestion is sensible if the study concerned with three or more different methods to facilitate the comparison among them. But this paper concerned with two techniques yet and the performance of first criteria is better than the other in all cases under consideration. 2- I used package MATHCAD-14 to obtain the simulated results and any application such as MATLAB or MATHEMATICA can also be used easily. 3- I will review the all study to correct any typos
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		