



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_29176
Title of the Manuscript:	MODELING SATISFACTION FACTORS THAT PREDICT STUDENTS CHOICE OF PRIVATE HOSTELS IN A GHANAIAN POLYTECHNIC
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The calculation of the odds ratio of x2 seems to be in error. The other odd ratios check out exactly correct. I cannot obtain the value of 0.795. The authors should mention which statistical package they used to generate the model.	Agreed and corrected.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There are a few grammatical and sentence construction errors in the paper.	Agree and corrected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper is a good application of logistics regression.	