



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_29176
Title of the Manuscript:	MODELING SATISFACTION FACTORS THAT PREDICT STUDENTS CHOICE OF PRIVATE HOSTELS IN A GHANAIAN POLYTECHNIC
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The topic of the research paper is interesting and applicable in real world problems. 2. In this paper, they concluded that five factors are responsible for students' choice of private hostels in a Ghanaian Polytechnic. 3. Write equations and their descriptions in justified style at page 8. At same page inequality $1 - \pi(x_i) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-X\beta)}$ create confusion in developing formula. Redefine X and X^T in revised manuscript. Also correct calculation at same page. 4. Rearrange references according to format of journal. 5. Again read manuscript carefully and use proper punctuation marks.	Agreed and corrected
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		