



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_28861
Title of the Manuscript:	iCleaner: A Data Cleansing Tool for Outlier Detection in a Data Warehousing Environment
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	- the Author use old references as a base for his/her work - Unknown references(there are two unknown references symbolic as ?in the third paragraph in introduction section)and in sections(3.1,4 - Figure1 is not explained well - The same figure is used in two different section(section 4.1,4.3)	We thank the Reviewer for the positive evaluation of the paper. The unknown references have been corrected in sections 3.1 and 4 as directed by the reviewer. We have included in the work current papers and added more references discussing similar subjects. Further explanation have been provided on Figure 1 and the repeated figures have been removed from section 4.1 and 4.3.
Minor REVISION comments	- Lack of examples and definitions in section 2,3 - Error in referring in section 4.3 to table number .he/she uses table 1 instead of table 2 - Figure 3 is not mentioned and not explained also in any place in section 4.3it's putted in section 4.3 but appears in section 5.1 without explanation - Figure 10 is in a wrong place, it must be before reference section	We have included examples in section 2 and 3 as directed. The table references have been fixed and referenced appropriately. Figures have been relabeled and each one have been explained and described in the text. Figure 10 have been placed before the reference section as suggested by the reviewer.
Optional/General comments	None	