



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_28848
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants and Inverses of Fibonacci and Lucas Skew Symmetric Toeplitz Matrices
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	It is very difficult to understand some of the results in this paper. In (2.1) on page 3, what is $\det(M_{\{n-2\}}(\dots))$ here? From just above you define M_k to be the solutions of a difference equation which makes them numbers and not matrices. There are other examples of undefined notation in the paper. Everything should be carefully defined.	1. Page 3, in Lemma 1.1: "M_i" has been changed by "p_i", we have checked the similar places. 2. Page 7, Line 1 from the bottom: "$\tilde{N} \oplus M$" has been changed by "$N \oplus R$". 3. Page 14, Line 5 from the bottom: "$\tilde{N} \oplus \tilde{M}$" has been changed by "$\tilde{N} \oplus \tilde{R}$".
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		