



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_27863
Title of the Manuscript:	Hybrid chaotic systems
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This might be an interesting work, but it cannot be published as it is. The basic formulas (2.3) should be proved, not just written. The second Cauchy condition should be $x'(t_0) = v_{j,0}$ for $ \alpha < \sqrt{8/\pi}$ and the latter inequality - condition should be explained (where does this condition come from?). Otherwise, the non - specialist reader cannot understand the meaning and the details of this work. Moreover, in the expressions of A_1, A_2 it seems that the products involving $\cos(\omega t_0)$ and $\sin(\omega t_0)$ are missing. To conclude, Section 2 is completely unclear and must be explained step by step. Section 3 is also unclear for a non – specialist and seems to be taken from another source. The notion of a bimodal map is used but not defined. Proposition 3.1 has no proof and no related reference. So, this part seems to be not original. The author is kindly asked to prove and explain any of his contribution and to send the reader to the References in case of known results.	In Section 2, we introduced the deductions of all expressions that we obtained. In section 3, it was introduced the definition of a bimodal map and some references about the subject. On the other hand, some references about the Proposition 3.1 and where its proof can be found were also introduced.
Minor REVISION comments	The graphical presentation and the English of the manuscript look very well.	Thank you for your kindly comment.
Optional/General comments		