



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_27803
Title of the Manuscript:	Permeable Rings and their Extensions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper is ok in general. But, there is a type writing mistake in example 2.5. (<i>for all r in R is wrong</i>). Please clarify the ethical issue: The relation of permeable ring with many others ring	It's been corrected now. The relations described in page 3 to show where exactly the class of permeable rings lie in between other well known classes of rings .
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	It should be described some application of permeable ring.	We mentioned in the abstract, introduction and the conclusion that permeable ring extend the Barnet matrix ring and so the ring $R[x]/\langle x^n \rangle$. Also we mentioned that we extend some matrices and the polynomial ring by introducing the permeable power ring. Which can be found in definition 4.5 and forward.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		