



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_27679
Title of the Manuscript:	On Solving Comrade Linear systems Via Transformations
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The main contributions of this work consist in the resolving method for comrade linear systems. This finding appears to be novel, and we expect it to be of interest to researchers working in related areas. 1. Page 2. It is good to add a summary of the related researches (at the end of "1 Introduction"). 2. Page 2. The designation of this work is illustrated in "1 Introduction (The paper is organized as follows. ...)," however, the importance of section 3 has not been presented. 3. Page 3. It is said "By using those vectors together with appropriate elementary row operations, one can see that the system (1.1) may be transformed to the equivalent linear system:". (2.6) Can all systems take form (1.1) be transformed to a equivalent linear system as shown in (2.6)? Please refer to page 5, "Step 5: If $\det(C) = 0$, then Exit and Print Message ("No solutions") end if." 4. It will be better to give a "Discussion" – especially in a section – of the results and the experiments.	
		Actually we added a summary of most related researches at the introduction.
		✓
		In page 4, we wrote "The sufficient conditions for its validity are $\mu_i \neq 0, i=1,2,3, \dots, n$ ". So our answer is "Yes" with these conditions.
Minor REVISION comments	There are several formatting deficiencies which must be addressed.	✓
		✓



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is better to extend the manuscript by providing more detailed derivations and analysis results because I think the length is a little short for a regular paper.	
---	--	--