



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_27679
Title of the Manuscript:	On Solving Comrade Linear systems Via Transformations
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In my opinion, the main drawback of this work is its weak theoretical background, the obtained results are justified with the help of illustrative examples. Such a paper can be published only as a preliminary step in the investigation of the proposed algorithms. In addition, the article is written rather carelessly. Here are some examples, only to provide a few. Page 2, lines -15,-16. "...an...algorithms..." has to be "...algorithms..." (delete "an") Page 2, line -6 "different than..." has to be "different from..." Page 2, lines -4,-3 Section numbers are given incorrectly. There is no Section 5 in the paper. "Conclusions" has to be "conclusions" (lowercase). Page 5, line after Step 8 "The Algorithm 2.1" has to be "Algorithm 2.2" (remove "The" and change the number"), "refere" has to be "referred". Page 6, Example 3.3. "system $Cx=y$ takes the form..." has to be "system $Cx=y$ of the form..." Page 5, lines -2,-1 "...values" has to be "...value...", "...in comparisons..." has to be "...in comparison" Page 6, Conclusions, line +2 Remove "computing the" and change "developedfor" to "developed for". Line +3, +4, +5 Change "al-gorithm" to "algorithm", "fails" to "fail", "Threenumerical" to "Three numerical", "com-petitiveness" to "competitiveness".	√ √ √ √ √ √ √ √
Minor REVISION comments	Page 2, literature review. The word "the author(s)" is	√



SDI Review Form 1.6

	ambiguous here. Do you mean yourself(yourselves) or the authors of the respective publications? I recommend to write in a more precise way.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper presents new numerical algorithms based on transformation techniques of a comrade system (1.2). With the help of mappings (2.1)-(2.5) the system is transformed into system (2.6) and afterward the inverse transformation is applied. The algorithm is called COMTRANS, while its symbolic version called SCOMTRANS is also supplied. I think, the paper can be published after the revision according to the suggestions stated above.	✓