SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJMCS_27558**
Title of the Manuscript:	**DEVELOPMENT OF AN AUTOMATED DESCRIPTIVE TEXT-BASED SCORING SYSTEM**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:
This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Technically this paper is good. However, it isn't very well written. There are numerous grammar errors, some spelling errors, and some style errors such as missing spaces between sentences. I strongly advise the authors to use a grammar checker and spell checker and then get a native English speaker to check over the writing.	The research team does appreciate the comment by the reviewer and will definitely go through the paper and amend the grammatical errors if found and do a proof-reading.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		