



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_27558
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPMENT OF AN AUTOMATED DESCRIPTIVE TEXT-BASED SCORING SYSTEM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. There are lot of recent research papers in concerned domain that must be added. 2. Results must be explained clearly. 3. Comparatively analysis must be depicted clearly.	Comment 1: We do agree that more recent research in the concern domain should be added. This we will do by expanding our research literature reviews. Comment 2&3: The research results and comparative analysis are believed to have been written and explain explicitly. Table 1&2 and figure 1, 2 & 3 conveyed explanatory representation on the subject.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		