



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_27221
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Hall Current and Rotation on Unsteady MHD Natural Convection Flow Past a Vertical Flat Plate with Ramped Wall Temperature and Heat Absorption
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. In abstract part, grammatical and literature modification are needed. 2. This paper is a minor extension of the author's paper which appears as reference [28] in the paper at hand. Part of the paper at hand, word-by-word, and formula-by-formula is taken from the latter reference. 3. The introduction needs modification. 4. MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION section needs literature modification, which is matched. Please clarify the Plagiarism: Some section's literature are matched with the below linked paper. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2090447913001007	The suggested modification has been done and clearly highlighted with yellow colour in the original Manuscript. The explanation for Reviewer's comment (Sr. no. 2, 3 & 4) are given below. The submitted article is the extension of the paper "Reference [20]". The reference [28] is the particular case of the paper which I have submitted. In our case we have considered three different practical application of the problem viz. (a) Plate moving with uniform velocity (b) Plate moving with uniform acceleration and (c) plate moving with periodic acceleration, whereas Reference [28] is the particular case of our problem (namely the case (a) i.e., Plate moving with uniform velocity). In our Problem we have considered chemical reaction and heat source effect which is missing in Reference [28]. So it clearly indicates that the problem is out of scopes of Plagiarism.
Minor REVISION comments	1. In Page 19, the radiation parameter will be N, not Kr. (Figure:12) 2. In RESULTS AND DISCUSSION section, figures quality need to clear / improve. (Figures line thickness). 3. REFERENCES part also needs modification.	The suggested modification has been done and clearly highlighted with yellow colour in the original Manuscript.
Optional/General comments		The author's sincerely thank the reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions which helped to improve the quality of the paper.