



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_27209
Title of the Manuscript:	Pseudo Lifting Modules
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	Very good paper in general. Well developed, and despite having a simple proposal, complied with the proposed objectives.	I tried to make the presentation as simple as possible.
Minor REVISION comments	I think it is interesting to add the concluding chapter, emphasizing the importance of the article, and future prospects.	I am aware of the generalisation of this paper. I intend to investigate the issues in this paper further in order to produce a more general situation.
Optional/General comments		