



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_27176
Title of the Manuscript:	Covariant Almost Analytic Vector Field On Q-Quasi Umbilical Hypersurface of a Trans Sasakian Manifolds
Type of the Article	Technical Research (don't have new idea)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper is dedicated to consider fields on Q-quasi umbilical hypersurface M of a trans-Sasakian manifold $(M, \phi, g, u, v, \lambda)$. This geometry often are using in theoretical physics especially String theory so it is very interesting theme. In the paper author have a trans-Sasakian manifold with structure (ϕ, g, u, v, λ), so it is easy to see that we have enough information of geometry of this space, and also the author assumed that "Q-quasi umbilical hypersurface M with (ϕ, g, u, v, λ)" and "M is totally umbilical" are very strong assumptions so the main results of this paper is natural and can be further developed. Remark: the assumptions is too strong, but they are correlated with Yang- Mills theory, so good enough. On page 10 in proving theorem 5.4 miss "+" and theorem 5.5 miss "-"	Review the Theorem 5.4 now becomes Theorem 5.7 and Theorem 5.5 becomes 5.8. and check all places of "+" and "-" in both Theorems proof they are suitable for the proof. Thanks for the reviewer for there valuable suggestion.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	On page 10 in proving theorem 5.4 miss "+" and theorem 5.5 miss "-"	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	So we think that the article is good enough, but it is more technical than theoretical (main results are formulas) and can be developed and illustrated by examples.	In next paper we will study the examples. Thanks for the suggestions .