SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJMCS_27165**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Oscillation criteria for second-order nonlinear delay dynamic equations on time scales**
Type of the Article	**original research article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	In the second line of the seventh page, the author says： "Further, as $\tilde{T} = \tau(T) = T$ by H4, we can get from Lemma 3.1 that……" But, in hypothesis H4, like the author claims: $\tilde{T} = \tau(T) \subset T$. If $\tilde{T} = \tau(T) \subset T$, then theorems 4.1-4.4 may be invalid.	Delete " := τ( )⊂ " in the condition (H_4), and make relev nt a modifications in the corresponding proof.
Minor REVISION comments	I have examined Theorem 4.1 which cannot be applied to the Euler differential equation. Therefore, the results should be further improved.	
Optional/General comments		