SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJMCS_27063**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Software Development for the Prediction of Hydrate Formation Conditions**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1. In the first section of the manuscript, the first letter of each word is upper case, which is kind of not professional.** **2. It would be great if an article road map were presented at the end of the first section.**	All noted issues have been corrected and highlighted in the manuscript. The upper cases has been changed to lower cases and highlighted Road map has been defined
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	**This manuscript proposed a Web-Based Software method to Simulate hydrate formation temperature and pressure conditions using available data. The software and its engine were created in scarlet macaw and Adobe Dreamweaver, using programming languages, such as HTML5, PHP, CSS3, and JAVASCRIPT. The software was tested and debugged and the comparison showed the results are good.** **The results are also adequately presented to explain and support the authors' contribution. The topic is very interesting and fits the scopes of the journal well.**	