



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_27033
Title of the Manuscript:	SOLVING NETWORK ROUTING PROBLEM USING ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENT TECHNIQUES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Mention about Section 5 in line 47 2. Mention the version of VB .Net in line 13 3. Mention the running time of Dijkstra's correctly in line 55 4. Mention the equation number and give proper explanation about the expression in line 92 5. Reference 2 is not clear in line 174 6. Mention all the reference in proper format 7. In figure 4 Flow chart, in the condition if ANT IS NOT AT JUNCTION then what should be action? Kindly mention. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Agree 2. Agree 3. Agree 4. Equation number given, explanation of the equation will not be needed in this paper 5. Reference 2 is clear enough 6. All references are in proper format
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Figure1, figure 2, figure 3, figure 5, figure 6, figure 7 and figure 8 are blurred. Use the correct figure. 2. Mention the iterations and conditions in the new algorithm properly. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All the figures in this paper are clear enough
Optional/General comments		