



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_26412
Title of the Manuscript:	A Hybrid Cascade Approach for Human Skin Segmentation
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
1. There is a very little modification in the revised paper, and still a little error in formula (3.1) is "$c_1+c_2+c_3=0$", on the contrary, it should be "$c_1+c_2+c_3=1$". 2. all in all, the test results are ok, but not very persuasive due to not thoroughly tested with illumination variation situation.	1- This typo in the mentioned formula has been corrected in the revised version of the manuscript. 2- The images given in figure 8 are the same that have been used by the methods we compared with, so that we believe that the comparison is quite fair and indicative. 3- As stated earlier, the obtained results from a set of intensive experiments have been compared to other results reported in the literature. The comparison is based on two metrics: true detection and false alarm rates. Moreover, an additional visual comparison between our method and other related methods has been performed, as shown in Fig. 8. In all, in the light of these comparisons, one can readily infer that our results can be rated as rather satisfactory and in a good agreement with those obtained with other relative state of the art methods.