



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_26412
Title of the Manuscript:	A Hybrid Cascade Approach for Human Skin Segmentation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Old idea and is not promising. There are several grammatical mistakes in the paper. Most of references are old. Figure 1 was not authored by the author, so it is must be referred by reference. The number of training data sample is not enough. Nowadays there are many existing techniques built in Matlab and C language which achieve better results than this idea. Please clarify the Plagiarism: See the report of the ithenticate The percentage of plagiarism is 24% by ithenticate program.	Even though the comments provided by this reviewer tend to be more generic and irrelevant, we can say that in this work, we have introduced, for the first time, a multistage segmentation method built as a cascade of a nonparametric generic model and an adaBoost classifier. Regarding the issue of plagiarism, we think that the report of ithenticate program responds to itself. Not comment from our side!
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		