



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_26412
Title of the Manuscript:	A Hybrid Cascade Approach for Human Skin Segmentation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. the algorithm is of no innovation for 2 reasons: 1) colour space partition for skin feature in RGB or HSV is not new anymore. 2) classifier of adaboost is thoroughly discussed in years. 2. Experiment result is good, but cannot prove its robustness for illumination variation and camouflage interference. Therefore there is no attractive contributions in this paper.	We think that the dependence of the proposed approach on basic concepts such as HSV colour space and adaBoost classifier does not make it disadvantageous. As stated earlier in the manuscript, various experiments have been conducted to show that the proposed method is a promising approach and it successfully achieves high quality segmentation, while concurrently retaining reasonably low false alarm rates. Moreover, the comparison of the proposed method with related state-of-the-art competitors reveals the superiority and effectiveness of the proposed method, while maintaining real-time.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The whole scheme of this paper is not innovative.	This claim has previously been replied to!