



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_26350
Title of the Manuscript:	Generation of Complex Picture Maze by Making Path along Pre-Positioned Image Dot Vertices New Title: Construction of Picture Maze along Set of Image Dot Vertices
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Please clarify the Plagiarism: The report line numbers 94-117 are totally copied from reference No. -9. http://www.sciencedomain.org/issue.php?iid=699&id=6	Reference No. 9 is the author's previous article. By the way, old [9] is change to [8].
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. The title's scope of the paper is very specific. 2. Experimental results and discussion are described in a paragraph and too short. It could be explained with few more test results/proofs. 3. Adding further assessment results may strengthen this report.	1. The title is change to "Construction of Picture Maze along Set of Image Dot Vertices." 2. More experiments are made and discussions are added. 3. Additional evaluations and explanations are added where they are proper.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The author has presented a good report. The follow of presentation was good. The objectives and Conclusion are clearly made.	