



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_26322
Title of the Manuscript:	Multiple Solutions of Riemann Type of Functional Equations
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Reference should start from 1 Equation numbers must be at the end of the line I did not understand the question marks A few sentences, the results would be good if the authors wrote. Please see the pdf	The references have been revised The equation numbers have been set at the right place The question marks in the table stood for lack of desired parity of quadratic characters. Since they were confusing, we dropt them The Conclusion section explains the results
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		