



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_26322
Title of the Manuscript:	Multiple Solutions of Riemann Type of Functional Equations
Type of the Article	The article is a research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	-	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	As general, the paper provides a research work on multiple solutions of Riemann type of functional equations. In this context, the author(s) provide a comprehensive scientific content. Although the paper seems having a remarkable content, still some additional minor revisions should be done. The related revisions are: 1- An appropriate Abstract section should be provided at the start of the paper. 2- Number of references seems low. I suggest including references total of at least 25. 3- In order to keep the content up-to-date and improve quality generally, there can be a few more references from especially 2015, and 2016. Thanks the author(s) for their valuable efforts to form this paper.	Abstract section has been added. The number of references has been increased to 25. Most of new references are from the last two years. Thanks to the referee for his remarks.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	-	