



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_26315
Title of the Manuscript:	A New Viewpoint in the study of Quasi b-Components in Bitopological Spaces
Type of the Article	Short Research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In Abstract a little deeper the issue with the very words of the authors and do not use quotes. Citations / references should be submitted to from the "Introduction and Preliminaries" section. Inserting and removing "gaps" in the settings to look like in the sections: "two topologies τ_1 and τ_2 on X" "For a subset A of X, $\tau_i\text{-Cl}(A)$ (resp. $\tau_i\text{-Int}(A)$) denotes" "However, $\tau_i\text{-Cl}(A)$ and $\tau_i\text{-Int}(A)$" The authors made references appropriately and cited several sources. But the extension of the paper is interesting to have the text software or anti-plagiarism system, as http://www.scanmyessay.com/ e.g.	Because Abstract express to the general idea of quasi-components in bitopological spaces. References References have been modified and wrote in the introduction and preliminaries. Gaps have been modified as attached in paper. References have been modified as http://www.scanmyessay.com/ e.g.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Quote references in the paper text sequentially, first [1], then [2], [3] and so on. In references put this sequential order of presentation. Interestingly, the conclusion shows that there was connection between theory and hypothesis. I believe that the inclusion of one or two paragraphs facilitate the possible citations to this paper. Review the Example 2.6.	References arranged by appearing. Conclusion links between research and the results of previous theories. After reviewing Example 2.6. it is true



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Some suggestions were made and the authors may or may not accept them. Consider the suggestions as an aid and not as critical. If possible and is available, include references in some previous publications BJMCS.	Impossible. Finally The authors are grateful to referees for valuable comments and suggestions in improving this paper.