



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_26024
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPMENT OF AN OPTIMIZED MOBILE AGENT MIGRATION PATTERN FOR PULL-ALL DATA STRATEGY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1 (Line 15) The results obtained showed that,. this statement you change it to the following way The obtained results shows that 2 see the part Related work : Here starting of the line text should be capital and improve the English (you can take help from expert) 3 reference pattern used in text should be same 4 your own research work shown in the paper should be in present tense 5 You add few more recent references in the paper	Thanks for the comment, line 15 has been effected. And the related work English has been checked and improved upon. The reference pattern has been effected. Limited work is done in this area which leads to limited recent references
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	You can modify the title of paper which is given below Mathematical model to test the network load using migration pattern to pull-all data	Thanks for the advice, the proposed topic is still preferred. Thanks for the advice.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	concept is good	Thanks