



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_25673
Title of the Manuscript:	Note on "Mean square solution of second-order random differential equations by using homotopy analysis method"
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This paper can't consider as original research work because author find some mistakes of reference [1] of the paper. They provide some examples only. I think this paper criticized one published paper. [1] Golmankhaneh AK, Porghoveh NA, Baleanu D. Mean square solution of second-order random differential equations by using homotopy analysis method. Rom. Rep. Phys. 2013; 65:350- 3 62.	We have followed your recommendations for the necessary corrections
Minor REVISION comments	Paper need a rigorous review.	
Optional/General comments		