



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_25518
Title of the Manuscript:	The Cauchy problem for the Camassa-Holm equation with quartic nonlinearity in Besov spaces
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The manuscript is very very long. It is very tired for reader. Then, it must be abbreviated. It must be more concise. Also, there are a lot of lemmas in this paper.	We thank the reviewer for helpful suggestions. Maybe our paper is long, but it depends on the content investigated.
Optional/General comments	General comments are positive for me. The manuscript is well designed and detailed. Language is very well. Results are new and contribute applied mathematics and mathematical analysis. It is equipped with together functional analysis and applied mathematics.	We really appreciate the reviewer for the positive comments.