



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_25428
Title of the Manuscript:	SURVEY OF METHODS IN SENTIMENT AND EMOTIONAL ANALYSIS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	(1) Most of the related works should be are placed properly in the paper. Only see [2], [7] and [8] in the paper. (2) The result of the proposed good research area in this new sentiment and emotional analysis. It is clearly to understand. It sounds a good job.	12. Two new references added 13. Single graph is compartmentalized into 3 graphs and explanation is given for the same for emotional analysis. 14. Chart titles are removed 15. Page numbers are added 16. Conclusion is very slightly modified in last line 17. Each table and figure is given with references
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		