SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJMCS_24494**
Title of the Manuscript:	**A STUDY OF THE ESTIMATION OF THE GINI COEFFICIENT OF INCOME USING LORENZ CURVE**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The authors should strive to use a measure of Relative Bias (%) as they did with their Relative Error (%) because they are comparing competing numerical integration techniques. If there is a problem of having zero in the denominator for Relative Bias then a constant can be added to resolve this issue.**	**Correction effected. Please see page 5, equation 13; page 8, line 205-208, line 227-230, Table 2, Table 4 and pages 248-254**
Minor REVISION comments	**In equation (5), line 87, the authors make an IF statement, but there is no THEN follow-up comment (s).** **The language in lines 142-144 is confusing. The authors (i) discuss a KS test of normality, (ii) discuss the null hypothesis in terms that the distribution is lognormal distribution, and (iii) and subsequently conclude that (p=.42) that the distribution is not normal. This language should be cleaned up.**	**Correction effected. Please see page 4, equation 5, line 91.** **Correction effected. Please see page 7, Line 171-175**
Optional/General comments		