



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_24446
Title of the Manuscript:	The behavior of two coupled mechanical oscillators in non-linear fields and the possibility of obtaining controlled halts
Type of the Article	Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The work done in this article seems to be interesting and important from application point of view. However, the whole article contains many grammatical mistakes, which I think should be corrected in the final version of this article. I have highlight few in the pdf file by adding comments. But whole article should be proof read for grammatical mistakes.	Dear Sir! Thank-you very much for your feedback and comments. Thank you also for the effort of reading the whole material and making specific comments on text. We assure you of all our consideration for your efforts and for the constructive ideas that you suggested us. We will correct and modify those parts of the work that you have specified, following the indicated directions. With great respect, thank you for your suggestions.
Minor REVISION comments	No any	
Optional/General comments	No any	