



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_24440
Title of the Manuscript:	Application of Quick Simplex Method(A new approach) On Two Phase Method
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The manuscript is not well written and should be carefully rewritten. 2. The authors should give 1-2 large-scale numerical examples to show the feasibility of their new method. 3. The authors should give a comparative study of their method with the existing methods in terms of CPU time.	1.ok 2.If 1-2 large-scale numerical examples include to show the feasibility of our new method then proper formatting not be possible due to increasing no of rows and columns . 3.Already mention the comparison
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper presents a method to solve two phase simplex method. The authors should make a major revision for their manuscript according to the revision comments given by the reviewer.	ok