



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_24365
Title of the Manuscript:	New Analytic Technique for the Solution of Nth order Nonlinear Two-Point Boundary Value Problems
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I recommend the paper for publication after corrections along the following remarks. 1. Page 101, the number of formula "(1.2)" should be appeared in the line 7. 2. Page 101, line 8, there should be some spaces for the first sentence of each paragraph. There are same mistakes in other places of the manuscript. 3. Page 107, Example 4.4, line 16 and line 18, the last of formula should be added "." Such errors should be corrected before the submission of a paper.	1. Agreed. The correction has been effected. 2. The mistake on this line and other places has been corrected as suggested. 3. The suggestion on line 16 has been effected. However, the three dots on line 18 pointed to the fact that the solution function $f(\eta)$ is an infinite series. This notation is quite standard.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		