



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_24365
Title of the Manuscript:	New Analytic Technique for the Solution of Nth order Nonlinear Two-Point Boundary Value Problems
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Especially the corrections and comments in red into the paper	Agreed. The suggestions have been effected.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It would be interesting to do graphs that demonstrate to the results because this technique is very interesting to solve nonlinear boundary value problems.	Thanks for the encouraging comments here. Graphs were not drawn in the current manuscript due to the nature of the examples considered. In another work where problems in heat and mass transfers are extensively considered, graphical representation of results shall be considered.